New a priori estimates for semistable solutions of semilinear elliptic equations

### A. AGHAJANI

School of Mathematics, Iran University of Science and Technology, Narmak, Tehran, Iran.

School of Mathematics, Institute for Research in Fundamental Sciences (IPM), P.O.Box: 19395-5746,
Tehran, Iran.

## Abstract

We consider the semilinear elliptic equation -Lu=f(u) in a general smooth bounded domain  $\Omega\subset R^n$  with zero Dirichlet boundary condition, where L is a uniformly elliptic operator and f is a  $C^2$  positive, nondecreasing and convex function in  $[0,\infty)$  such that  $\frac{f(t)}{t}\to\infty$  as  $t\to\infty$ . We prove that if u is a positive semistable solution then for every  $0\le\beta<1$  we have

$$f(u) \int_0^u f(t) f''(t) e^{2\beta \int_0^t \sqrt{\frac{f''(s)}{f(s)}} ds} dt \in L^1(\Omega),$$

by a constant independent of u. As we shall see, a large number of results in the literature concerning a priori bounds are immediate consequences of this estimate. In particular, among other results, we establish a priori  $L^{\infty}$  bound in dimensions  $n \leq 9$ , under the extra assumption that  $\limsup_{t \to \infty} \frac{f(t)f''(t)}{f'(t)^2} < \frac{2}{9-2\sqrt{14}} \cong 1.318$ . Also, we establish a priori  $L^{\infty}$  bound when  $n \leq 5$  under the very weak assumption that, for some  $\epsilon > 0$ ,  $\liminf_{t \to \infty} \frac{(tf(t))^{2-\epsilon}}{f'(t)} > 0$  or  $\liminf_{t \to \infty} \frac{t^2f(t)f''(t)}{f'(t)^{\frac{3}{2}+\epsilon}} > 0$ .

Key words: Regularity of stable solutions; Semilinear elliptic equations; Nonlinear eigenvalue problem.

MSC(2010). 35K57; 35B65; 35J61

## 1. Introduction

This article is devoted to the study of positive semistable solutions of the following boundary value problem

$$\begin{cases} Lu + f(u) = 0 & x \in \Omega, \\ u = 0 & x \in \partial\Omega, \end{cases}$$
 (1.1)

where  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$   $(n \geq 2)$  is a smooth bounded domain,  $f \in \mathbb{C}^2$  and  $Lu := \partial_i(a^{ij}(x)u_j)$  is uniformly elliptic, namely  $(a^{ij}(x))$  is a symmetric  $n \times n$  matrix with bounded measurable coefficients, i.e.,  $a^{ij} = a^{ji} \in L^{\infty}(\Omega)$ , for which there exist constants  $c_0$  and  $C_0$  such that

$$c_0|\xi|^2 \le a^{ij}(x)\xi_i\xi_j \le C_0|\xi|^2$$
, for all  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $x \in \Omega$ . (1.2)

 $Email\ address:\ {\tt aghajani@iust.ac.ir}\ (A.\ AGHAJANI)$ 

By the semistability of a solution u (see [6]), we mean that the lowest Dirichlet eigenvalue of the linearized operator at u is nonnegative. That is,

$$\int_{\Omega} f'(u)\eta^2 dx \le \int_{\Omega} a^{ij}(x)\eta_i \eta_j dx, \quad for \ all \ \eta \in H_0^1(\Omega). \tag{1.3}$$

Replacing f with  $\lambda f$  ( $\lambda \geq 0$ ), where f satisfies the assumption

$$f(0) > 0, \quad f' \ge 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{s \to \infty} \frac{f(s)}{s} = \infty,$$
 (1.4)

then it is well known ([6, 7, 9]) that there exists a finite positive extremal parameter  $\lambda^*$  such that semistable solutions exist for  $\lambda \in (0, \lambda^*)$ .

The problem of finding a priori bounds for solutions of (1.1) under the assumption (1.4) has been studied extensively in the literature [2-12, 15, 16] and it is shown that it depends strongly on the dimension n and nonlinearity f. In the case where  $L = \Delta$  and f is convex, Nedev in [12] obtained the  $L^{\infty}$  bound for n = 2, 3 (which also holds for general L). When  $2 \le n \le 4$  and  $L = \Delta$ , the best known result was established by Cabré [2] who showed that the  $L^{\infty}$  bound holds for arbitrary nonlinearity f if in addition  $\Omega$  is convex. Applying the main estimate used in the proof of the results of [2], Villegas [15] got the same result replacing the condition that  $\Omega$  is convex with f is convex. However, it is still an **open problem** to establish an  $L^{\infty}$  estimate in dimensions 10, even in the case of convex domains 12 and convex nonlinearities satisfying 13.

By imposing extra assumptions on the nonlinearity f much more is known, see [6]. Let f is convex and define

$$\tau_{-} := \liminf_{t \to \infty} \frac{f(t)f''(t)}{f'(t)^{2}} \le \tau_{+} := \limsup_{t \to \infty} \frac{f(t)f''(t)}{f'(t)^{2}}.$$
 (1.5)

Crandall and Rabinowitz [7] proved an a priori  $L^{\infty}$  bound for semistable solutions when  $0 < \tau_{-} \le \tau_{+} < 2 + \tau_{-} + \sqrt{\tau_{-}}$  and  $n < 4 + 2\tau_{-} + 4\sqrt{\tau_{-}}$ . This result was improved by Ye and Zhou in [16] and Sanchón in [10] establishing that  $u \in L^{\infty}$  when  $\tau_{-} > 0$  and  $n < 6 + 4\sqrt{\tau_{-}}$  (note that  $0 \le \tau_{-} \le 1$  always hold by the assumptions on f). Moreover if  $0 < \tau_{-} \le \tau_{+} < 1$  then using an iteration argument in [7] one can show that  $u \in L^{\infty}$  whenever  $n < 2 + \frac{4}{\tau_{+}}(1 + \sqrt{\tau_{-}})$ . In [10] Sanchón proved that  $u \in L^{\infty}$  whenever  $\tau_{-} = \tau_{+} \ge 0$  and  $n \le 9$ . As we have seen all the above results and others results in the literature considering  $\tau_{-}$  and  $\tau_{+}$  assume  $\tau_{-} > 0$ . However, recently Cabré, Sanchón and Spruck [6] proved interesting results without assuming  $\tau_{-} > 0$  and any lower bound on f' nor any bound on f''. They considered in [6] convex nonlinearities  $f \in C^{2}$  satisfying (1.4) and one of the following conditions:

For every  $\epsilon > 0$  there exist  $T_{\epsilon}$  and  $C_{\epsilon}$  such that

$$f'(t) \le C_{\epsilon} f(t)^{1+\epsilon} \quad for \ all \ \ t > T_{\epsilon},$$
 (1.6)

or,

there exist  $\epsilon > 0$ ,  $T_{\epsilon}$  and  $C_{\epsilon}$  such that

$$f'(t) \le C_{\epsilon} f(t)^{1-\epsilon} \quad for \ all \ \ t > T_{\epsilon}.$$
 (1.7)

They showed in [6] that, under condition (1.6)  $u \in L^{\infty}$  when  $n \leq 5$ , and for  $n \geq 6$ ,  $u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$  for all  $p < \frac{n}{n-5}$ . In particular, if  $n \leq 9$  then  $u \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ .

Also, under condition (1.7) they showed that  $u \in L^{\infty}$  when  $n < 6 + \frac{4\epsilon}{1-\epsilon}$ , and if  $n \ge 6 + \frac{4\epsilon}{1-\epsilon}$  then  $u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$  for all  $p < \frac{(1-\epsilon)n}{(1-\epsilon)n-5+3\epsilon}$ . In particular, if  $n \le 10 + \frac{4\epsilon}{1-\epsilon}$  then  $u \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ . As a corollary they proved the following results

if 
$$\tau_+ < 1$$
 and  $n < 2 + \frac{4}{\tau_+}$  then  $u \in L^{\infty}$  (1.8)

if 
$$\tau_{+} = 1$$
 and  $n < 6$  then  $u \in L^{\infty}$ . (1.9)

Note that in both the above results (also in the rest of this paper),  $u \in L^p(\Omega)$  or  $u \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$  mean that u is bounded in  $L^p(\Omega)$  or  $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$  by a constant independent of u. Also, throughout the paper C is a generic constant independent of u, which may take different values in different places.

In this paper, we improve most of the above results by proving the following main results using the semistability inequality (1.3) and a standard regularity result for uniformly elliptic equations.

**Theorem 1.1.** Let  $f \in C^2$  be convex and satisfy (1.4). Let u be a positive semistable solution of problem (1.1). Then for every  $0 \le \beta < 1$  we have

$$H_{f,\beta}(u) := f(u) \int_0^u f(t) f''(t) \ e^{2\beta \int_0^t \sqrt{\frac{f''(s)}{f(s)}} ds} \ dt \in L^1(\Omega).$$
 (1.10)

**Theorem 1.2.** Let  $u \in H_0^1(\Omega)$  be a nonegative weak solution of problem (1.1) with f satisfies (1.4). If there exists a positive constant C independent of u such that

$$||u||_{L^1(\Omega)} \le C \quad and \quad ||\frac{\tilde{f}(u)^{\alpha}}{u^{\sigma}}||_{L^1(\Omega)} \le C, \quad for \ some \ 0 \le \sigma \le \alpha,$$
 (1.11)

where  $\tilde{f}(u) = f(u) - f(0)$  and  $\alpha \ge 1$ , then

$$||u||_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)} \le C \quad for \quad n < 2\alpha. \tag{1.12}$$

Also, if  $n > 2\alpha$  and  $0 \le \frac{n-2}{n}\sigma < \alpha - 1$  then we have

$$||u||_{L^r(\Omega)} \le C \quad \text{for all } r < \frac{(\alpha - \sigma)n}{n - 2\alpha},$$
 (1.13)

$$||f(u)||_{L^r(\Omega)} \le C \quad \text{for all } r < \frac{(\alpha - \sigma)n}{n - 2\sigma},$$
 (1.14)

$$||u||_{W_0^{1,r}(\Omega)} \le C \quad \text{for all } r < \frac{(\alpha - \sigma)n}{n - \alpha - \sigma}.$$
 (1.15)

In particular, if  $\alpha < 2 + \sigma$  then

$$||u||_{H_0^1(\Omega)} \le C \quad \text{for } n < \frac{2(\alpha + \sigma)}{2 + \sigma - \alpha}. \tag{1.16}$$

Notice that, in Theorem 1.2, if  $\alpha \geq 2 + \sigma$  then obviously we have  $||u||_{H^1_0(\Omega)} \leq C$ . Indeed, we then have  $\frac{\tilde{f}(u)^{\alpha}}{u^{\sigma}} \geq \tilde{f}(u)^2 \frac{\tilde{f}(u)^{\sigma}}{u^{\sigma}}$  gives  $||\tilde{f}(u)^2||_{L^1(\Omega)} \leq C$  (by (1.11) and the superlinearity of f, i.e.,  $\lim_{s\to\infty} \frac{f(s)}{s} = \infty$ ), and as we shall see later this immediately gives  $||u||_{H^1_0(\Omega)} \leq C$ .

To see how the above results work and compare them with previous ones, first as an example take  $f(t) = e^t$ . Then from the estimate (1.10) we get

$$(2+2\beta)H_{f,\beta}(u) = e^{(3+2\beta)u} - e^u = f(u)^{3+2\beta} - f(u) \in L^1(\Omega) \text{ for every } 0 \le \beta < 1,$$

that also implies  $f(u)^{3+2\beta} \in L^1(\Omega)$  for every  $0 \le \beta < 1$ . Now (1.12) simply gives  $u \in L^{\infty}(\Omega)$  when n < 10.

As an another example take  $f(t) = (1+t)^p$ , p > 1. Then (1.10) easily gives

$$f(u)^{3-\frac{1}{p}+2\beta\sqrt{\frac{p-1}{p}}} \in L^1(\Omega) \text{ for every } 0 \le \beta < 1.$$

Now, letting  $\gamma := 3 - \frac{1}{p} + 2\beta\sqrt{\frac{p-1}{p}}$ , then from the definition of f it is easy to see that  $\frac{\tilde{f}(u)^{\alpha}}{u^{\alpha}} \leq f^{\gamma}(u) \in L^{1}(\Omega)$  where  $\alpha := \frac{p}{p-1}\gamma$ . Hence from (1.12) we get  $u \in L^{\infty}(\Omega)$  for  $n < 2\alpha$ , and since  $\beta < 1$  is arbitrary we get

$$u \in L^{\infty}(\Omega) \ for \ n < 2(1 + \frac{2p}{p-1} + 2\sqrt{\frac{p}{p-1}}).$$

The above results are the same as results obtained by Crandall and Rabinuwitz [7].

Note that by the assumptions of Theorem 1.1, from (1.10) it is easy to see that  $H_{f,\beta}(u) \geq Cf(u)f'(u)$ , that gives  $f(u)f'(u) \in L^1(\Omega)$ . This together with the fact that  $f'(u) \geq \frac{\tilde{f}(u)}{u}$  (comes from the convexity of f) give  $\frac{\tilde{f}(u)^2}{u} \in L^1(\Omega)$ . Hence, from Theorem 1.2 with  $\alpha = 2$  and  $\sigma = 1$  we get

$$||u||_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)} \le C \quad for \quad n < 4 \tag{1.17}$$

and

$$||u||_{H_0^1(\Omega)} \le C \text{ for } n < 6.$$
 (1.18)

The above results are the main results of G. Nedev in [12].

Now suppose that  $\tau_{-} > 0$ . Then for  $\tau < \tau_{-}$  there exists  $T_{\tau}$  such that  $f(t)f''(t) \ge \tau f'(t)^2$  for  $t \ge T_{\tau}$ , that also gives  $\frac{f''(t)}{f(t)} \ge \tau \frac{f'(t)^2}{f(t)^2}$  for  $t \ge T_{\tau}$ . Then using Hölder's inequality, for t > 0 sufficiently large we have

$$H_{f,\beta}(r) \ge C\tau f(r) \int_0^r f'(t)^2 e^{2\beta\sqrt{\tau} \int_0^t \frac{f'(s)}{f(s)} ds} dt$$

$$\geq Cf(r)\int_0^r f'(t)^2 f(t)^{2\beta\sqrt{\tau}} dt \geq Cf(r) \frac{(\int_0^r f'(t)f(t)^{\beta\sqrt{\tau}} dt)^2}{r} \geq C\frac{f(r)^{\delta}}{r},$$

where  $\delta := 3 + 2\beta\sqrt{\tau}$  and C is a constant independent of u and depends on  $\tau$  and  $\beta$ . Now, since  $0 \le \beta < 1$  and  $\tau < \tau_-$  were arbitrary then from Theorem 1.2 we get

$$u \in L^{\infty}(\Omega) \text{ for } n < 6 + 4\sqrt{\tau}.$$
 (1.19)

In particular, if  $\tau_{-} < \frac{9}{16}$  then  $u \in L^{\infty}(\Omega)$  when  $n \leq 9$ . Also, if  $n > 6 + 4\sqrt{\tau_{-}}$  then from (1.15) we get

$$||u||_{W_0^{1,r}(\Omega)} \le C \text{ for all } r < \frac{2(1+\sqrt{\tau_-})n}{n-4-2\sqrt{\tau_-}}.$$
 (1.20)

The above results are the same as those obtained in [10, 16] when  $L=\Delta$ . Notice that, to get the above estimates (1.19-20) we assumed that  $f\in C^2$  satisfies  $\tau_->0$ . However, when we know only  $f\in C^1$  then we have the following alternative.

**Proposition 1.1.** Let  $f \in C^1$  satisfy (1.4) and there exist  $s_0 > 0$  such that  $f^{1-\delta}$  is convex in  $[s_0, \infty)$  for some  $0 < \delta < 1$ . If u is a semistable solution of problem (1.1) then

$$u \in L^{\infty}(\Omega) \text{ for } n < 6 + 4\sqrt{\delta},$$
 (1.21)

and

$$||u||_{W_0^{1,r}(\Omega)} \le C \quad \text{for all } r < \frac{2(1+\sqrt{\delta})n}{n-4-2\sqrt{\delta}}.$$
 (1.22)

Note that if we assume that  $f \in \mathbb{C}^2$  then it is easy to see that  $\tau_- > 0$  implies that for every  $0 < \epsilon < 1$ ,  $f^{1-\tau_{-}+\epsilon}$  is convex in  $[s_{\epsilon}, \infty)$  for some  $s_{\epsilon} > 0$ . Hence, the above result gives  $u \in L^{\infty}(\Omega)$  for  $n < 6 + 4\sqrt{\tau_{-} - \epsilon}$ , and since  $0 < \epsilon < 1$  is arbitrary we get  $u \in L^{\infty}(\Omega)$ for  $n < 6 + 4\sqrt{\tau_-}$ .

Now consider the case  $\tau_{+} < \infty$  (we don't assume that  $\tau_{-} > 0$ ). Then the following corollary improves the results (1.8) and (1.9).

**Proposition 1.2.** Let  $f \in \mathbb{C}^2$  be convex and satisfy (1.4), and u be a positive semistable solution of problem (1.1). The following assertions hold:

- (a) If  $\tau_{+} = 0$  then  $u \in L^{\infty}(\Omega)$  for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . (b) If  $\tau_{+} < \frac{2}{9-2\sqrt{14}} \cong 1.318$  and n < 10 then  $u \in L^{\infty}(\Omega)$ .
- (c) If  $0 < \tau_{+} < \infty$  and  $n < \max\{2 + \frac{4}{\tau_{+}} + \frac{4}{\sqrt{\tau_{+}}}, 4 + \frac{2}{\tau_{+}} + \frac{4}{\sqrt{\tau_{+}}}\}$  then  $u \in L^{\infty}(\Omega)$ .
- (d) If  $n > 4 + \frac{2}{\tau_+} + \frac{4}{\sqrt{\tau_+}}$  then

$$||u||_{W_0^{1,r}(\Omega)} \le C \quad \text{for all } r < \frac{n}{n - 3 - \frac{2}{\tau_+} - \frac{4}{\sqrt{\tau_+}}}.$$
 (1.23)

In particular, if  $\tau_+ \neq \infty$  then  $u \in H^1_0(\Omega)$  for n < 7, and if  $\tau_+ < \frac{4}{11-4\sqrt{7}} \cong 9.592$  then  $u \in H_0^1(\Omega)$  for n < 10.

Note that here we do not assume that  $\tau_+ \leq 1$  as in [6]. Also part (b) improve (1.9) from n < 6 to n < 10 even under the weaker assumption that  $\tau_+ < \frac{2}{9-2\sqrt{14}}$ , instead of  $\tau_{+} \leq 1$ . Indeed from part (c) we see that to get the regularity up to dimension n < 6 we need  $\tau_+ < 10 + 4\sqrt{6} \simeq 19.79$ . Moreover, part (c) improve (1.8) even in the case  $\tau_+ \leq 1$ . Furthermore, as we have mentioned before, using previous results in the literature and an iteration argument in [7] one can show that if  $0 < \tau_- \le \tau_+ < 1$  then  $u \in L^{\infty}$  whenever  $n < 2 + \frac{4}{\tau_+}(1 + \sqrt{\tau_-})$ . However, we have  $2 + \frac{4}{\tau_+}(1 + \sqrt{\tau_-}) \le 2 + \frac{4}{\tau_+} + \frac{4}{\sqrt{\tau_+}}$ , hence part (c) of Proposition 1.2 also improve this result, without having the extra condition that  $\tau_- > 0$ . Also notice that from the above proposition we infer that if  $\tau_+ = \tau_-$  then  $u \in L^{\infty}(\Omega)$  for  $n \leq 9$  since in this case we must have  $\tau_{+} \leq 1$  (as  $\tau_{-} \leq 1$  always holds), hence from part (c) we get  $u \in L^{\infty}(\Omega)$  for  $n \leq 9$ .

If we know  $0 < \tau_{-} \le \tau_{+} < \infty$  then we get better results.

Corollary 1.3. Let  $f \in C^2$  be convex and satisfy (1.4), and u be a positive semistable solution of problem (1.1). If  $0 < \tau_{-} \le \tau_{+} < \infty$ , then, in addition to conclusions of Proposition 1.2 and estimates (1.19-20) we also have

$$u \in L^{\infty}(\Omega), \quad for \quad n < 6 + \frac{4}{\sqrt{\tau_+}}.$$
 (1.24)

In particular, if  $\tau_+ < \frac{16}{9}$  then  $u \in L^{\infty}(\Omega)$  for  $n \leq 9$ .

Notice that, the above results and those in the literature including the assumption  $\tau_{-}>0$ , give the uniform  $L^{\infty}(\Omega)$  bound for semistable solutions at least up to dimension 6. However, in the case when  $\tau_{-}=0$ , we can use the following consequence of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 that gives the uniform  $L^{\infty}(\Omega)$  bound up to dimension 5 under a very weak condition.

Corollary 1.4. Let  $f \in C^2$  be convex and satisfy (1.4). Assume in addition that, for some  $0 \le \gamma \le 2$  and  $\epsilon > 0$  such that  $\epsilon - \gamma > \frac{1}{2}$  we have

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} \inf \frac{t^{2-\gamma} f(t)^{1+\gamma} f''(t)}{f'(t)^{1+\epsilon}} > 0.$$
(1.25)

Then if u is a positive semistable solution of problem (1.1), we have  $||u||_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)} \leq C$  for n < 5.

In particular, taking  $\gamma = 0$  in (1.25), we see that if for some  $\epsilon > \frac{1}{2}$  we have

$$\liminf_{t \to \infty} \frac{t^2 f(t) f''(t)}{f'(t)^{1+\epsilon}} > 0,$$

then  $||u||_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)} \leq C$  for  $n \leq 5$ .

The following preposition improves the main results of [6] that were based on assumptions (1.6) and (1.7).

**Proposition 1.3.** Let  $f \in C^2$  be convex and satisfy (1.4). Assume in addition that, for some  $0 \le \gamma < \infty$  and  $0 \le \delta \le \gamma$  there exist  $T := T_{\gamma,\delta}$  and  $C := C_{\gamma,\delta}$  such that

$$f'(t) \le Ct^{\delta} f(t)^{\gamma} \quad \text{for all } t > T.$$
 (1.26)

Then if u is a positive semistable solution of problem (1.1), we have

$$f'(u) \in L^{1+\frac{2}{\gamma+\delta}}(\Omega) \quad and \quad \frac{\tilde{f}(u)^{2+\frac{1}{\gamma}}}{u^{1+\frac{1+\delta}{\gamma}}} \in L^1(\Omega).$$
 (1.27)

As a consequence

$$u \in L^{\infty}(\Omega) \text{ for } n < \max\{4 + \frac{2}{\gamma}, \ 2 + \frac{4}{\gamma + \delta}\}. \tag{1.28}$$

In particular we have:

(i) If  $\gamma < \infty$  then  $u \in L^{\infty}(\Omega)$  for  $n \leq 4$ , and if  $\gamma < 2$  then  $u \in L^{\infty}(\Omega)$  for  $n \leq 5$ .

(ii) If  $n > 4 + \frac{2}{\gamma}$  and  $(n-2)\delta < 2(\gamma+1)$  then

$$u \in L^p(\Omega) \ \text{for} \ p < \frac{(1 - \frac{\delta}{\gamma})n}{n - 4 - \frac{2}{\gamma}}, \quad f(u) \in L^p(\Omega) \ \text{for} \ p < \frac{(1 - \frac{\delta}{\gamma})n}{n - 2 - \frac{2(1 + \delta)}{\gamma}}$$

and

$$u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \quad \text{for } p < \frac{(1 - \frac{\delta}{\gamma})n}{n - 3 - \frac{2 + \delta}{\gamma}},$$
$$u \in H_0^1(\Omega) \quad \text{for } n < \frac{6\gamma + 2\delta + 4}{\gamma + \delta}.$$

In particular if  $3\gamma + 7\delta < 4$  then  $u \in H_0^1(\Omega)$  for  $n \leq 9$ .

Note that, taking  $\delta=0$  and  $\gamma=1+\epsilon$  for some  $(\epsilon>0)$  in (1.26), then we have a weaker condition than (1.6), that we need (1.6) holds only for some  $\epsilon\in(0,1)$  (not for every  $\epsilon>0$  as in [6]), but we get the regularity up to dimension  $n\leq 5$ . Also, from the last assertion of the above corollary we see that, if  $0<\epsilon<\frac{1}{3}$  then  $u\in H^1_0(\Omega)$  for  $n\leq 9$ . Note that, by the above corollary, to get the regularity up to dimension  $n\leq 5$  we need only to have, for some  $\epsilon>0$ , there exists a  $T=T_\epsilon$  such that

$$f'(t) \le C(tf(t))^{2-\epsilon}$$
 for  $t \ge T$ .

Also, taking  $\delta=0$  and  $\gamma=1-\epsilon$  for some  $(0<\epsilon<1)$  in (1.26), we have the condition (1.7). Then from (1.27-28) we get  $f'(u)\in L^{\frac{3-\epsilon}{1-\epsilon}}(\Omega)$  and

$$u \in L^{\infty}(\Omega)$$
 for  $n < 2 + \frac{4}{1 - \epsilon} = 6 + \frac{4\epsilon}{1 - \epsilon}$ .

Moreover,  $u \in H_0^1(\Omega)$  for  $n \leq 10 + \frac{4\epsilon}{1-\epsilon}$ .

For example take a convex nonlinearity f such that  $f(t) = t \ln t$  for t large. It is easy to see that f satisfies (1.7) for every  $0 \le \epsilon < 1$ , hence from (1.28) we have  $u \in L^{\infty}(\Omega)$  in every dimension n.

Remark 1.5. As we have mentioned before, in dimension n=4, Cabré [2] and Villegas [15] showed the uniform  $L^{\infty}$  bound for arbitrary nonlinearity f if  $\Omega$  is convex, or arbitrary domain  $\Omega$  if f is convex. For the proof, they used a geometric Sobolev inequality on general hypersurface of  $R^n$  to bound the  $L^{\infty}(\Omega)$  norm of every positive semistable solution u by the  $W^{1,4}$  norm of u on the set  $\{u < t\}$  where t can be chosen arbitrarily. However, the above proposition shows that we can get the same result in dimension n=4 and arbitrary smooth bounded domain  $\Omega$ , with a more simple proof using the semistability inequality, under the very weak extra condition that for some  $\gamma < \infty$  (arbitrarily large) we have  $\lim \sup_{t\to\infty} \frac{f'(t)}{f(t)^{\gamma}} < \infty$ .

Brezis and Vázquez in [1] showed that under the extra condition that  $\liminf_{t\to\infty}\frac{tf'(t)}{f(t)}>1$  or equivalently

$$tf'(t) - f(t) \ge \epsilon f(t), \quad t > T_{\epsilon},$$
 (1.29)

for some  $\epsilon > 0$ , then we have  $u \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ . In [6], (1.10) is replaced with the following weaker condition that, for some  $\epsilon > 0$ 

$$tf'(t) - f(t) \ge \epsilon t, \quad t > T_{\epsilon}.$$
 (1.30)

In the following we give a weaker sufficient condition on f than (1.30) to guarantee  $u \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ .

**Proposition 1.4.** Let  $f \in C^2$  be convex and satisfy (1.4). Assume in addition that one of the following assertions hold:

(i) For some  $\epsilon > 0$  there exists  $T = T_{\epsilon} > 0$  such that

$$f'(t)f\left(t - \frac{f(t)}{f'(t)}\right) \ge \epsilon t, \quad t > T.$$
 (1.31)

(ii) For some  $0 < \gamma < 2$  there exist  $C = C_{\gamma}$  and  $T = T_{\gamma}$  such that

$$\frac{f''(t)}{f(t)} \ge \frac{C}{t^2(\ln t)^{\gamma}}, \quad t > T. \tag{1.32}$$

Then if u is a positive semistable solution of problem (1.1), we have  $||u||_{H_0^1(\Omega)} \leq C$  in every dimension  $n \geq 2$ .

Notice that from the superlinearity of f, i.e.,  $\lim_{t\to\infty}\frac{f(t)}{t}=\infty$ , it is obvious (1.31) is weaker than (1.30). Indeed, the left hand side of (1.31) is equal to  $\frac{f(h)}{h}(tf'(t)-f(t))$  where  $h(t):=\frac{tf'(t)-f(t)}{f'(t)}\to\infty$  as  $t\to\infty$  (use L'Hospital's rule). As an example take a nonlinearity f such that  $f(t)=t(\ln t)^a$  for large t, where 0< a<1. Then we have

$$tf'(t) - f(t) = \frac{at}{(\ln t)^{1-a}},$$

so (1.29) or (1.30) do not hold, hence we can not apply the previous results in [1, 6]. However, we have, for t large,  $f'(t) = (\ln t)^a + a(\ln t)^{a-1}$ , hence

$$f'(t)f\left(t - \frac{f(t)}{f'(t)}\right) \cong at(\ln t)^{4a-1}.$$

Thus (1.31) is satisfied if  $\frac{1}{4} \le a < 1$ , and by part (i) of the above proposition we have  $||u||_{H_0^1(\Omega)} \leq C$  for every domain  $\Omega$  and dimension n. However, in this case we see that (1.32) is better than (1.31). Indeed, for t sufficiently large we have

$$\frac{f''(t)}{f(t)} \cong \frac{a}{t^2(\ln t)^{1-2a}},$$

thus (1.32) is satisfied for every 0 < a < 1. Hence, by part (ii) of the above proposition we have  $||u||_{H^{1}_{\alpha}(\Omega)} \leq C$  for every domain  $\Omega$  and dimension n. Note that, we applied Proposition 1.4 to this example only to compare our results with previous ones, while applying Proposition 1.3 directly gives  $u \in L^{\infty}(\Omega)$ , implies  $||u||_{H_0^1(\Omega)} \leq C$  in every dimension n. Indeed, here we have, for every  $\gamma > 0$ ,  $f'(t) < Cf(t)^{\gamma}$  for t large.

## 2. Preliminary estimates

The following standard regularity result is taken from [6], for the proof see Theorem 3 of [13] and Theorems 4.1 and 4.3 of [14], also see the explanation after Proposition 2.1 of

**Proposition 2.1.** Let  $a^{ij}=a^{ji}, 1 \leq i, j \leq n$  be measurable functions on a bounded domain  $\Omega$ . Assume that there exist positive constants  $c_0, C_0$  such that (1.2) holds. Let  $u \in H_0^1(\Omega)$  be a weak solution of

$$\begin{cases}
Lu + c(x)u = g(x) & x \in \Omega, \\
u = 0 & x \in \partial\Omega,
\end{cases}$$
(2.1)

with  $c, g \in L^p(\Omega)$  for some  $p \geq 1$ .

Then there exists a positive constant C independent of u such that the following assertions

- (i) If  $p > \frac{n}{2}$  then  $||u||_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)} \le C(|u||_{L^{1}(\Omega)} + |g||_{L^{p}(\Omega)})$ . (ii) Assume  $c \equiv 0$ . If  $1 \le p < \frac{n}{2}$  then  $||u||_{L^{r}(\Omega)} \le C|g||_{L^{p}(\Omega)}$  for every  $1 \le r < \frac{np}{n-2p}$ . Moreover,  $||||_{W_{0}^{1,r}(\Omega)} \le C$  for every  $1 \le r < \frac{np}{n-p}$ .

The following lemma is crucial for the proof of the main results.

**Lemma 2.1.** Let  $f \in C^1$  (not necessarily convex) satisfy (1.4) and  $g: [0, \infty] \to [0, \infty]$  be a  $C^1$  function with g(0) = 0 and satisfy

$$H(s) := g(s)^2 f'(s) - G(s)f(s) \ge 0$$
, for s sufficiently large, (2.2)

where  $G(s) := \int_0^s g'(t)^2 dt$ . Then if u is a positive semistable solution of problem (1.1), we have  $H(u) \in L^{\dot{1}}(\Omega)$ .

In particular if

$$\limsup_{s \to \infty} \frac{G(s)f(s)}{g(s)^2 f'(s)} < 1, \tag{2.3}$$

then

$$g^2(u)f'(u) \in L^1(\Omega). \tag{2.4}$$

PROOF. Let u be a positive semistable solution of (1.1). Take  $\eta = g(u)$  as a test function in the semistability inequality (1.3). Then we get

$$\int_{\Omega} a^{ij} g'(u)^2 u_i u_j dx - \int_{\Omega} f'(u_{\lambda}) g(u)^2 dx \ge 0.$$
(2.5)

Now, by using the integration by part formula, we compute

$$\int_{\Omega} a^{ij} g'(u)^2 u_i u_j dx = \int_{\Omega} a^{ij} u_j G(u)_i dx = -\int_{\Omega} \partial_i (a^{ij} u_j) G(u) dx = \int_{\Omega} G(u) f(u) dx. \quad (2.6)$$

Using (2.6) in (2.5) we obtain

$$\int_{\Omega} H(u)dx \le 0. \tag{2.7}$$

Now from (2.2) there is an  $M_0 > 0$  such that  $H(s) \ge 0$  for  $s \ge M_0$ , and hence using (2.7) we get

$$\int_{\Omega} |H(u)| dx = \int_{u \le M_0} |H(u)| dx + \int_{u \ge M_0} H(u) dx \le \int_{u \le M_0} (|H(u)| - H(u)) dx \le C_0 |\Omega|,$$

where  $|\Omega|$  denotes the Lebesgue measure of  $\Omega$  and  $C_0 := \sup_{s \in [0, M_0]} (|H(s)| - H(s))$ , and since  $C_0$  is independent of u we get  $H(u) \in L^1(\Omega)$  that proves the first part.

Now suppose that (2.3) holds and take  $\delta > 0$  such that  $\limsup_{s \to \infty} \frac{G(s)f(s)}{g(s)^2f'(s)} < \delta < 1$ . Then there exists an  $M_1 > 0$  so that

$$H(s) = \left(1 - \frac{G(s)f(s)}{g(s)f'(s)}\right)f'(s)g(s)^2 > (1 - \delta)f'(s)g(s)^2, \quad for \quad s \ge M_1. \tag{2.8}$$

From (2.8) we obtain

$$0 \ge \int_{\Omega} H(u)dx = \int_{u < M_1} H(u)dx + \int_{u \ge M_1} H(u)dx \ge C_1|\Omega| + (1 - \delta)\int_{u \ge M_1} f'(u)g(u)^2 dx,$$

where  $C_1 := \inf_{[0,M_1]} H(s)$  is independent of u. Consequently, we have

$$\int_{\Omega} f'(u)g(u)^2 dx \le \tilde{C} := \left(\frac{C_1}{\delta - 1} + \sup_{[0, M_1]} f'(s)g(s)^2\right) |\Omega|,$$

with  $\tilde{C}$  independent of u, yields  $g(u)^2 f'(u) \in L^1(\Omega)$  that proves (2.4).

The following lemma will be used for the proof of Proposition 1.1.

**Lemma 2.2.** Let  $g:[0,\infty]\to [0,\infty]$  be a  $C^1$  function with g(t)>0 for t>0 and there exist  $s_0$  positive such that  $g^{\gamma}$  is convex in  $[s_0,\infty)$  for some  $\gamma\in(0,1]$ . If  $\limsup_{s\to\infty}\frac{f(s)}{g(s)^2f'(s)}=0$ , then

$$\limsup_{s \to \infty} \frac{G(s)f(s)}{g(s)^2 f'(s)} \le \frac{1}{2 - \gamma} \limsup_{s \to \infty} \frac{g'(s)f(s)}{g(s)f'(s)}.$$
 (2.9)

PROOF. Take  $C := \int_0^{s_0} g'(t)^2 dt$ . By the assumption  $g^{\gamma}$  is convex so  $g'g^{\gamma-1}$  is an increasing function in  $[s_0, \infty)$ , thus for  $t > t_0$  we can write

$$G(s) = \int_0^s g'(t)^2 dt = C + \int_{s_0}^s [g'(t)g^{\gamma - 1}(t)] \ g'(t)g^{1 - \gamma}(t)dt$$

$$\leq C + g'(s)g^{\gamma - 1}(s) \int_{s_0}^s g'(t)g^{1 - \gamma}(t)dt = C + \frac{g'(s)g^{\gamma - 1}(s)}{2 - \gamma}[g(s)^{2 - \gamma} - g(s_0)^{2 - \gamma}]$$

$$\leq C + \frac{1}{2 - \gamma}g(s)g'(s),$$

that easily implies (2.9).

## 3. Proof of the main results

## Proof of Theorem 1.1

Let g and H be as in Lemma 2.1. We write

$$H(s) = g(s)^{2} f'(s) - G(s) f(s) = f(s) \left( g(s)^{2} \frac{f'(s)}{f(s)} - G(s) \right) := f(s) H_{1}(s).$$

Then from the definition of  $H_1(s)$  we have

$$H_1(s) = \int_0^s H_1'(t)dt + H_1(0) = \int_0^s \left(g(t)^2 \frac{f'(t)}{f(t)} - G(t)\right)' dt + H_1(0)$$

$$= \int_0^s \left(\frac{g(t)^2 f''(t)}{f(t)} - f(t)^2 \left(\left(\frac{g(t)}{f(t)}\right)'\right)^2\right) dt + H_1(0). \tag{3.1}$$

Now take a  $0 \le \beta < 1$  and let g(s) be a  $C^1$  function with g(0) = 0 and for some  $s_0 > 0$ ,  $g(s) = f(s)e^{\beta \int_0^s \sqrt{\frac{f''(t)}{f(t)}}dt}$  for  $s > s_0$ . Then from (3.1) we get

$$H_1(s) = C + (1 - \beta^2) \int_{s_0}^s f(t) f''(t) e^{2\beta \int_0^s \sqrt{\frac{f''(t)}{f(t)}} dt},$$
 (3.2)

and since

$$\int_{s_0}^{s} f(t)f''(t)e^{2\beta \int_0^{s} \sqrt{\frac{f''(t)}{f(t)}}dt} \ge \int_{s_0}^{s} f''(t)dt = f'(s) - f'(s_0) \to \infty \text{ as } s \to \infty,$$

from (3.2) we get

$$H_1(s) \ge C \int_0^s f(t) f''(t) e^{2\beta \int_0^s \sqrt{\frac{f''(t)}{f(t)}} dt}, \text{ for s sufficiently large,}$$
 (3.3)

where C is a positive constant depends only on f and  $\beta$ . Using (3.3) and the fact that  $H(u) = f(u)H_1(u) \in L^1(\Omega)$  (by Lemma 2.1) we get

$$f(u) \int_0^u f(t)f''(t)e^{2\beta \int_0^s \sqrt{\frac{f''(t)}{f(t)}}dt} \in L^1(\Omega),$$

which is the desired result.

Remark 3.1. The following simple implication will help to simplify the proof of Theorem 1.2.

if 
$$f_1 \in L^1(\Omega)$$
, and  $f_2^q \in L^1(\Omega)$ , then  $(f_1 f_2)^{\frac{q}{q+1}} \in L^1(\Omega)$ ,  $(q > 0)$ . (3.4)

Indeed, from the assumptions we have  $f_1^{\frac{q}{1+q}} \in L^{\frac{1+q}{q}}$  and  $f_2^{\frac{q}{1+q}} \in L^{1+q}$ , now the Hölder inequality gives the implication.

Proof of Theorem 1.2 By the assumption we have  $\frac{\tilde{f}(u)^{\alpha}}{u^{\sigma}} \in L^1(\Omega)$  for some  $0 \le \sigma \le \alpha$   $(\alpha \ge 1)$ , hence we have

$$\int_{\Omega} \frac{\tilde{f}(u)^{\alpha}}{u^{\alpha}} dx = \int_{u \le 1} \frac{\tilde{f}(u)^{\alpha}}{u^{\alpha}} dx + \int_{u > 1} \frac{\tilde{f}(u)^{\alpha}}{u^{\sigma}} u^{\sigma - \alpha} dx \le M |\Omega| + \int_{u > 1} \frac{\tilde{f}(u)^{\alpha}}{u^{\sigma}} dx$$

$$\le M |\Omega| + ||\frac{\tilde{f}(u)^{\alpha}}{u^{\sigma}}||_{L^{1}(\Omega)}, \quad where \quad M := \sup_{0 < t < 1} \frac{\tilde{f}(t)^{\alpha}}{t^{\alpha}}.$$

$$10$$

Hence, we get  $\frac{\tilde{f}(u)^{\alpha}}{u^{\alpha}} \in L^1(\Omega)$  or equivalently  $\frac{\tilde{f}(u)}{u} \in L^{\alpha}(\Omega)$ . Now similar to the proof of Corollary 2.2 in [6] we rewrite problem (1.1) as Lu + c(x)u = -f(0) where  $c(x) = \frac{\tilde{f}(u)}{u}$ , hence Proposition 2.1, part (i), gives (1.12).

Now assume that  $n > 2\alpha \ge 2$  and  $0 \le \frac{n-2}{n}\sigma < \alpha - 1$ . From the fact that  $f(u) \in L^1(\Omega)$ , and by the elliptic regularity theory (see Proposition 2.1 (ii)) we get

$$u \in L^q \text{ for any } q < q_1 := \frac{n}{n-2}.$$
 (3.5)

From (3.5) we have  $(u^{\sigma})^q \in L^1$  for every  $q < \frac{q_1}{\sigma}$ , then using (1.11) and Remark 3.1 we get

$$f^{\frac{\alpha q}{1+q}}(u) = \left(\frac{f(u)^{\alpha}}{u^{\sigma}}u^{\sigma}\right)^{\frac{q}{1+q}} \in L^{1}(\Omega), \quad for \ any \ q < \frac{q_{1}}{\sigma},$$

which implies that  $f(u) \in L^p(\Omega)$  for every  $1 \le p < \frac{\alpha q_1}{\sigma + q_1}$ . Note that  $\frac{\alpha q_1}{\sigma + q_1} > 1$  since it is equivalent to  $\frac{n-2}{n}\sigma < \alpha - 1$ . Also we have  $\frac{\alpha q_1}{\sigma + q_1} < \alpha < \frac{n}{2}$ . Again the elliptic estimates gives

$$u \in L^q$$
 for every  $q < q_2 := \frac{\alpha n q_1}{(\sigma + q_1)n - 2\alpha q_1}$ , (3.6)

and by Remark 3.1 and similar as above we get  $f(u) \in L^p$  for every  $1 \le p < \frac{\alpha q_2}{\sigma + q_2}$ . Using a bootstrap procedure we can prove that  $u \in L^q$  for every  $1 \le q < q_m$  and  $f(u) \in L^p$  for every  $1 \le p < \frac{\alpha q_m}{\sigma + q_m}$  (m = 1, 2, ...) where

$$q_m := \frac{\alpha n q_{m-1}}{(\sigma + q_{m-1})n - 2\alpha q_{m-1}}. (3.7)$$

Now it is easy to see that  $q_m$  is a bounded increasing sequence with the limit  $q_{\infty}$  given by

$$q_{\infty} = \frac{(\alpha - \sigma)n}{n - 2\alpha},\tag{3.8}$$

that proves (1.13) and (1.14). To get (1.15) it suffices to use (1.14) and Proposition 2.1, part (ii).

**Remark 3.2.** In most cases, proofs of  $L^{\infty}(\Omega)$  a priori estimates in the literature are based on a uniform  $L^1(\Omega)$  bound for functions such as  $\frac{\tilde{f}(u)^{\alpha}}{u^{\sigma}}$ , for some  $\alpha>1$  and  $0\leq\sigma\leq\alpha-1$ , followed by an iterative argument of Nedev [12] and standard regularity results to show  $u\in L^{\infty}(\Omega)$ , for  $n<2\alpha$ . Our proof, however, is a direct consequence of Proposition 2.1, with an improvement of the range  $0\leq\sigma\leq\alpha-1$  to  $0\leq\sigma\leq\alpha$ .

# Proof of proposition 1.1

Let g(s) be a  $C^1$  function with g(0) = 0 and  $g(s) = f^{\beta}$  for  $s > s_0$ , where  $\beta \ge 1$ , and G as in Lemma 2.1. Since  $g^{\frac{1-\delta}{\beta}} = f(s)^{1-\delta}$  is convex, then by using (2.9) with  $\gamma = \frac{1-\delta}{\beta}$  in Lemma 2.2 we have

$$\limsup_{t \to \infty} \frac{G(s)f(s)}{g(s)^2 f'(s)} \le \frac{\beta^2}{2\beta - 1 + \delta}.$$
(3.9)

Now let  $\beta < 1 + \sqrt{\delta}$ , then we have  $\frac{\beta^2}{2\beta - 1 + \delta} < 1$ , hence form (3.9) and Lemma 2.1 we have

$$f(u)^{2\beta}f'(u) \in L^1(\Omega). \tag{3.10}$$

From the convexity of f we have  $f'(t) \geq \frac{\tilde{f}(t)}{t}$ , thus from (3.10) we get

$$\frac{\tilde{f}^{2\beta+1}}{u} \in L^1(\Omega),\tag{3.11}$$

hence Theorem 1.2 gives  $u \in L^{\infty}(\Omega)$  for  $n < 2 + 4\beta$ . Now since  $\beta < 1 + \sqrt{\delta}$  was arbitrary we get

$$u \in L^{\infty}(\Omega) \text{ for } n < 6 + 4\sqrt{\delta},$$
 (3.12)

that proves (1.21). Similarly, using Theorem 2.2 and (3.11) we can prove (1.22). **Proof of Proposition 1.2** 

Suppose that  $\tau_{+} < \infty$ . Then for  $\tau > \tau_{+}$  there exists  $T_{\tau}$  such that

$$f(t)f''(t) \le \tau f'(t)^2 \quad \text{for} \quad t \ge T_{\tau},$$

$$(3.13)$$

that also gives  $\frac{f''(t)}{f(t)} \ge \frac{1}{\tau} \frac{f''(t)^2}{f'(t)^2}$  for  $t \ge T_\tau$ . From the convexity and superlinearity of f we have  $f'(t) \to \infty$  as  $t \to \infty$ , hence  $\int_0^t \sqrt{\frac{f''(s)}{f(s)}} ds \ge C + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\tau}} \ln f'(t)$  for large t. Then from the definition of  $H_{f,\beta}$  in (1.10) we see that for t > 0 sufficiently large

$$H_{f,\beta}(r) \ge Cf(r) \int_0^r f(t)f''(t)f'(t)^{\frac{2\beta}{\sqrt{\tau}}} dt.$$
(3.14)

Note that (3.13) is equivalent to  $\frac{d}{dt} \frac{f'(t)}{f(t)^{\tau}} \leq 0$  for  $t > T_{\tau}$  implies that

$$\frac{f'(t)}{f(t)^{\tau}} \le C := \frac{f'(T_{\tau})}{f(T_{\tau})^{\tau}}, \text{ for all } t > T_{\tau}.$$
 (3.15)

Using (3.15) in (3.14) we obtain, for r sufficiently large

$$H_{f,\beta}(r) \ge Cf(r) \int_0^r f''(t)f'(t)^{\frac{1}{\tau} + \frac{2\beta}{\sqrt{\tau}}} dt \ge Cf(r)f'(r)^{1 + \frac{1}{\tau} + \frac{2\beta}{\sqrt{\tau}}},$$
 (3.16)

where C is a constant depends on f and  $\beta$  but not u. Now if we use (3.15) in (3.16) and Theorem 1.1 we get

$$f'(u)^{1+\frac{2}{\tau}+\frac{2\beta}{\sqrt{\tau}}} \in L^1(\Omega),$$

that also gives

$$\frac{\tilde{f}(u)^{1+\frac{2}{\tau}+\frac{2\beta}{\sqrt{\tau}}}}{u^{1+\frac{2}{\tau}+\frac{2\beta}{\sqrt{\tau}}}} \in L^{1}(\Omega), \tag{3.17}$$

where we used the inequality  $f'(t) \ge \frac{\tilde{f}(t)}{t}$  for t > 0. Also, using the later inequality in (3.16) and using Theorem 1.1 again, we get

$$\frac{\tilde{f}(u)^{2+\frac{1}{\tau}+\frac{2\beta}{\sqrt{\tau}}}}{u^{1+\frac{1}{\tau}+\frac{2\beta}{\sqrt{\tau}}}} \in L^{1}(\Omega). \tag{3.18}$$

From the estimate (3.17) and Theorem 1.2 with  $\alpha = \sigma = 1 + \frac{2}{\tau} + \frac{2\beta}{\sqrt{\tau}}$  we get

$$||u||_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)} \le C$$
, for  $n < 2(1 + \frac{2}{\tau} + \frac{2\beta}{\sqrt{\tau}})$ . (3.19)

Now if  $\tau_+ = 0$  then since (3.19) holds for every  $\tau > \tau_+ = 0$  we get  $||u||_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)} \leq C$  for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  that proves part (a). Also, if  $\tau_+ > 0$  since  $0 \leq \beta < 1$  and  $\tau > \tau_+$  are arbitrary in (3.19) then we get

$$||u||_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)} \le C$$
, for  $n < 2 + \frac{4}{\tau_{+}} + \frac{4}{\sqrt{\tau_{+}}}$ ). (3.20)

Also, from the estimate (3.18) and Theorem 1.2 with  $\alpha = \sigma + 1 = 2 + \frac{1}{\tau} + \frac{2\beta}{\sqrt{\tau}}$  and similar as above we get

$$||u||_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)} \le C$$
, for  $n < 4 + \frac{2}{\tau_{+}} + \frac{4}{\sqrt{\tau_{+}}}$ . (3.21)

Now, (3.20) and (3.21) prove part (c). To prove part (b), it suffices to note that for  $\tau_+ < \frac{2}{9-2\sqrt{14}}$  we have  $4 + \frac{2}{\tau_+} + \frac{4}{\sqrt{\tau_+}} > 9$  and use part (c). Also, using the estimate (3.18) and Theorem 2.2 we can easily prove part (d).

## Proof of Corollaries 1.3 and 1.4

Suppose  $\tau_{-} > 0$  then there exist a T > 0 such that

$$f(t)f''(t) \ge \frac{\tau_-}{2}f'(t)^2 \text{ for } t \ge T.$$
 (3.22)

Now, for  $\tau > \tau_+$  as in the proof of Proposition 1.2 and using (3.22), (3.14) and Hölder inequality, for r > 0 sufficiently large we have

$$H_{f,\beta}(r) \ge Cf(r) \int_0^r f'(t)^{2 + \frac{2\beta}{\sqrt{\tau}}} dt \ge Cf(r) \frac{\left(\int_0^r f'(t)dt\right)^{2 + \frac{2\beta}{\sqrt{\tau}}}}{r^{1 + \frac{2\beta}{\sqrt{\tau}}}} \ge C \frac{f(r)^{3 + \frac{2\beta}{\sqrt{\tau}}}}{r^{1 + \frac{2\beta}{\sqrt{\tau}}}}.$$
 (3.23)

where C is a constant depends on f and  $\beta$  but not u. Now similar to the proof of Proposition 1.2 and using Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 we get the desired result of Corollary 1.3. To prove Corollary 1.4, from (1.25) we deduce, there exist C and T > 0 such that

$$f(t)f''(t) \ge C \frac{f'(t)^{1+\epsilon}}{t^{2-\gamma}f(t)^{\gamma}} \quad \text{for } t \ge T.$$
(3.24)

Hence, using (3.24) and Hölder inequality, for r > 0 sufficiently large we have

$$H_{f,\beta}(r) \ge Cf(r) \int_0^r f(t)f''(t)dt \ge Cf(r) \int_0^r \frac{f'(t)^{1+\epsilon}}{t^{2-\gamma}f(t)^{\gamma}} \ge C \frac{f(r)}{r^{2-\gamma}f(r)^{\gamma}} \int_0^r f'(t)^{1+\epsilon}dt \\ \ge C \frac{f(r)}{r^{2-\gamma}f(r)^{\gamma}} \frac{(\int_0^r f'(t)dt)^{1+\epsilon}}{r^{\epsilon}} \ge C \frac{f(r)^{2+\epsilon-\gamma}}{r^{2+\epsilon-\gamma}}.$$

Hence,  $\frac{\tilde{f}(u)^{2+\epsilon-\gamma}}{u^{2+\epsilon-\gamma}} \in L^1(\Omega)$ , thus Theorem 1.2 gives  $u \in L^{\infty}(\Omega)$  for  $n \leq 4+2(\epsilon-\gamma)$ . Now, by the assumption that  $\epsilon-\gamma>\frac{1}{2}$  we get  $u \in L^{\infty}(\Omega)$  for  $n \leq 5$ .

# **Proof of Proposition 1.3**

By using the assumption (1.26), for r > 0 sufficiently large we have

$$H_{f,\beta}(r) \ge Cf(r) \int_0^r f(t)f''(t)dt \ge Cf(r) \int_0^r \frac{f'(t)^{\frac{1}{\gamma}}}{t^{\frac{\delta}{\gamma}}} f''(t)dt$$

$$\ge C\frac{f(r)}{r^{\frac{\delta}{\gamma}}} \int_0^r f'(t)^{\frac{1}{\gamma}} f''(t)dt \ge C\frac{f(r)}{r^{\frac{\delta}{\gamma}}} f'(r)^{1+\frac{1}{\gamma}},$$

that gives (using Theorem 1.2)

$$\frac{\tilde{f}(u)}{u^{\frac{\delta}{\gamma}}}f'(u)^{1+\frac{1}{\gamma}} \in L^1(\Omega). \tag{3.25}$$

By using the inequality  $f'(t) \geq \frac{\tilde{f}(t)}{t}$  for t > 0 in (3.25) we get

$$\frac{\tilde{f}(u)^{2+\frac{1}{\gamma}}}{u^{1+\frac{1+\delta}{\gamma}}} \in L^1(\Omega). \tag{3.26}$$

Also, from (1.26) and the superlinearity of f we get , for t large enough,  $f'(t) \leq Cf(t)^{\gamma+\delta}$ , that gives

$$\frac{f(t)}{t^{\frac{\delta}{\gamma}}}f'(t)^{1+\frac{1}{\gamma}} = \frac{f(t)^{\frac{\delta}{\gamma}}}{t^{\frac{\delta}{\gamma}}}f(t)^{1-\frac{\delta}{\gamma}}f'(t)^{1+\frac{1}{\gamma}} \ge f(t)^{1-\frac{\delta}{\gamma}}f'(t)^{1+\frac{1}{\gamma}} \ge f'(t)^{1+\frac{2}{\gamma+\delta}},$$

for t sufficiently large. Using the above inequality and (3.25) we deduce

$$f'(u)^{1+\frac{2}{\gamma+\delta}} \in L^1(\Omega). \tag{3.27}$$

Now (3.26) and (3.27) prove (1.27), and an application of Theorem 1.2 completes the proof.  $\hfill\Box$ 

## **Proof of Proposition 1.4**

First notice that, from [6] ( or [1] for the case  $L = \Delta$ ), to prove the conclusion of theorem it is sufficient to show that

$$uf(u) \in L^1(\Omega)$$
.

From the estimate (1.12) in Theorem 1.2 we have

$$h(u) := f(u) \int_{0}^{u} f(t)f''(t)dt \in L^{1}(\Omega).$$
 (3.28)

From the convexity of f and Jensen's inequality we have

$$h(s) = f(s) \int_0^s f(t)d(f'(t)) \ge f(s)(f'(s) - f'(0))f\left(\frac{1}{f'(s) - f'(0)} \int_0^s td(f'(t))\right)$$

$$= f(s)(f'(s) - f'(0))f\Big(\frac{sf'(s) - f(s) + f(0)}{f'(s) - f'(0)}\Big) \ge Cf(s)f'(s)f\Big(\frac{sf'(s) - f(s)}{f'(s)}\Big),$$

for s sufficiently large. Note that by the L'Hospital's rule, we have  $\lim_{t\to\infty} \frac{sf'(s)-f(s)}{f'(s)} = \infty$ . Now suppose, for some  $\epsilon > 0$ , (1.31) holds. Then from the above estimate and (3.28) we get  $uf(u) \in L^1(\Omega)$  that proves part (i).

To prove part (ii), first note that from (1.32) we get, for t large enough

$$\int_0^t \sqrt{\frac{f''(s)}{f(s)}} ds \ge \tilde{C}(\ln t)^{1-\frac{\gamma}{2}}.$$

By using the above inequality and (1.32) we have, for r sufficiently large

$$H_{f,\beta}(r) \ge Cf(r) \int_2^r \frac{f(t)^2}{t^2(\ln t)^{\gamma}} e^{\tilde{C}(\ln t)^{1-\frac{\gamma}{2}}} dt.$$

Now using the fact that  $e^{\tilde{C}(\ln t)^{1-\frac{\gamma}{2}}} \geq (\ln t)^{\gamma}$  for t large enough, the above inequality implies

$$H_{f,\beta}(r) \ge Cf(r) \int_2^r \frac{f(t)^2}{t^2} dt \ge C \frac{f(r)}{r^2} \int_0^r f(t)^2 dt.$$
 (3.29)

From the superlinearity of f we have  $\int_0^r f(t)^2 dt \ge Cr^3$  for r sufficiently large, hence from (3.29) we get  $H_{f,\beta}(r) \ge Crf(r)$ . Now, theorem 1.2 implies  $uf(u) \in L^1(\Omega)$  that gives the desired result.

## 4. Acknowledgement

This research was in part supported by a grant from IPM (No. 93340123).

### References

- [1] H. Brezis and J. L. Vázquez, Blow-up solutions of some nonlinear elliptic problems, Rev. Mat. Univ. Complut. Madrid 10, 2 (1997) 443-469.
- [2] X. Cabré, Regularity of minimizers of semilinear elliptic problems up to dimension 4, Comm. Pure Appl. Math 63 (2010) 1362-1380.
- [3] X. Cabré, A. Capella, Regularity of radial minimizers and extremal solutions of semi-linear elliptic equations, J. Funct. Anal. 238, 2 (2006) 709-733.
- [4] X. Cabré, A. Capella, and M. Sanchón, Regularity of radial minimizers of reaction equations involving the p-Laplacian, Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations 34, 4 (2009) 475-494.
- [5] A. Capella, J. Dvila, L. Dupaigne, and Y. Sire, Regularity of radial extremal solutions for some nonlocal semilinear elliptic equations, , Comm. PDE 36, 2 (2011) 1353-1384.
- [6] X. Cabré, M. Sanchón and J. Spruck, A priori estimates for semistable solutions of semilinear elliptic equations, arXiv preprint, arXiv:1407.0243, 2014 arxiv.org (2014)
- [7] M.G. Crandall and P.H. Rabinowitz, Some continuation and variational methods for positive solutions of nonlinear elliptic eigenvalue problems, Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. 58 (1975) 207-218.
- [8] J. Dvila, L. Dupaigne, and M. Montenegro, The extremal solution of a boundary reaction problem, Commun. Pure Appl. Anal. 7, 4 (2008) 795-817.
- [9] L. Dupaigne, Stable solutions of elliptic partial differential equations. Chapman & Hall/CRC Monographs and Surveys in Pure and Applied Mathematics, 2011.
- [10] M. Sanchón, Boundedness of the extremal solution of some p-Laplacian problems, Nonlinear Anal. 67 (2007) 281-294.
- [11] M. Sanchón, Regularity of the extremal solution of some nonlinear elliptic problems involving the p-Laplacian, Potential Anal. 27 (2007) 217-224.
- [12] G. Nedev, Regularity of the extremal solution of semilinear elliptic equations, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math. 330 (2000) 997-1002
- [13] J. Serrin, Local behavior of solutions of quasi-linear equations, Acta Math. 111 (1964) 247-302
- [14] N.S. Trudinger, Linear elliptic operators with measurable coefficients, Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa (3) 27 (1973) 265308.
- [15] S. Villegas, Boundedness of extremal solutions in dimension 4, Adv. Math. 235 (2013) 126-133.
- [16] D. Ye, F. Zhou, Boundedness of the extremal solution for semilinear elliptic problems, Commun. Contemp. Math. 4 (2002) 547-558.